

BRANEWORLDS AND QUANTUM STATES OF RELATIVISTIC SHELLS

S. ANSOLDI

*International Center for Relativistic Astrophysics (ICRA), Italy, and
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Trieste, Italy, and
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università degli Studi di Udine,
via delle Scienze 206, I-33100 Udine (UD), Italy [Mailing address]*

E-mail: ansoldi@trieste.infn.it

Web-page: <http://www-dft.ts.infn.it/~ansoldi>

To appear in the proceedings of the
Eleventh Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity,
July 23–29, 2006, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
(<http://www.icra.it/mg/mg11>).

February 7, 2008

Abstract

We review some applications of relativistic shells that are relevant in the context of quantum gravity/quantum cosmology. Using a recently developed approach, the stationary states of this general relativistic system can be determined in the semiclassical approximation. We suggest that this technique might be of phenomenological relevance in the context of the brane-world scenario and we draw a picture of the general set-up and of the possible developments.

Keywords: Brane World Scenario; Bohr–Sommerfeld States; Cosmology; General Relativistic Shells; Junction conditions; WKB Quantization.

Let us consider two $(N+1)$ -dimensional domains of spacetime, $^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_\pm$, which are parts of two solutions of Einstein equations; let $^{(N)}\Sigma_\pm$ be isometric parts of their boundaries. Then $^{(N)}\Sigma_\pm$ can be identified, and $^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_\pm$ can be *joined* across $^{(N)}\Sigma$. If $^{(N)}\Sigma$ is also equipped with some matter–energy content and if it is a timelike hypersurface in $^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M} = ^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_- \cup ^{(N)}\Sigma \cup ^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_+$, then it describes the evolution of this matter/energy. $^{(N)}\Sigma$ is traditionally known as a *general relativistic shell* or a *co-dimension one brane*. General relativistic shells

have been often used as a framework for astrophysical and cosmological models (for an extensive bibliography see Ref. [1]). A good reason for this success is certainly the geometrically flavored description provided by Israel junction conditions[2], thanks to which the classical dynamics of the system is under control. If we call ${}^{(N)}K_{\mu\nu}^{(\pm)}$ ($\mu, \nu = 1, \dots, N+1$) the extrinsic curvature of ${}^{(N)}\Sigma$ with respect to its embeddings in ${}^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ and ${}^{(N)}S_{\mu\nu}$ the stress–energy tensor describing the matter–energy content of ${}^{(N)}\Sigma$, Israel junction conditions are, in suitable units,

$${}^{(N)}K_{\mu\nu}^{(+)} - {}^{(N)}K_{\mu\nu}^{(-)} = 8\pi M_{\mu\nu}, \quad M_{\mu\nu} = {}^{(N)}S_{\mu\nu} - {}^{(N)}g_{\mu\nu} {}^{(N)}S/2, \quad (1)$$

where ${}^{(N)}g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric on ${}^{(N)}\Sigma$ and ${}^{(N)}S$ is the trace of ${}^{(N)}S_{\mu\nu}$. Soon after the earliest classical applications of shells, a number of works discussed their semiclassical quantization (see again Ref. [1] for additional references). Most of them had the goal to investigate situations where the emergence of singularities was breaking down the predictive power of general relativity as in the cosmology of the early universe (with the initial singularity problem) and in gravitational collapse (with its, also singular, final fate). In the first case we would like to explicitly remember the paper of Farhi *et al.*[3], which showed how useful the idea of shell tunnelling can be raising some interesting (still open) issues[4]. About the second aspect, we remember the early works of Berezin[5] and Visser[6] (additional bibliography can be found in Ref. [1]). In what follows we will elaborate on the case in which¹ the metrics in ${}^{(N+1)}\mathcal{M}_{\pm}$ can be cast in the form ${}^{(N+1)}ds_{\pm}^2 = -h_{\pm}(a_{\pm}; \{\mathcal{G}_{\pm}\})dt_{\pm}^2 + da_{\pm}^2/h_{\pm}(a_{\pm}; \{\mathcal{G}_{\pm}\}) + {}^{(N-1)}d\Omega_{\pm}^2(\{\mathcal{G}_{\pm}\})a_{\pm}^2$ in the coordinates $(t_{\pm}, a_{\pm}, (\dots)_{\pm})$, where “ $(\dots)_{\pm}$ ” is a set of coordinates for the *maximally symmetric spaces* of metric ${}^{(N-1)}d\Omega_{\pm}^2(\{\mathcal{G}_{\pm}\})$. In this setup the junction conditions (1) can be reduced to just one equation

$$\epsilon_+ \sqrt{\dot{A}^2 + h_+(A; \{\mathcal{G}_+\})} - \epsilon_- \sqrt{\dot{A}^2 + h_-(A; \{\mathcal{G}_-\})} = M(A; \{\mathcal{E}\}), \quad (2)$$

where $A(\tau)$ is the value of $a_{\pm}$ at the brane location as a function of the proper time τ of an observer living on the brane, an overdot denotes a derivative with respect to τ and $\epsilon_{\pm}$ are the signs of the radicals. $M(A; \{\mathcal{E}\})$ encodes the properties of the matter–energy source living on the shell. Studies to develop the fully covariant Hamiltonian formulation started also early[7] (see again Ref. [1] for later ones) and represent the proper framework to correctly interpret *effective* Lagrangian/Hamiltonian formulations on which, for simplicity, we will concentrate. Indeed Eq. (2) can be obtained from an effective, dimensionally reduced Lagrangian/Hamiltonian as a first integral of the Euler–Lagrange/Hamilton equations. From this Lagrangian/Hamiltonian, following for instance

¹We will use the notation $\{\mathcal{G}_{\pm}\}$ to collectively indicate the dependence from the geometry–related parameters of the model (for example, the Schwarzschild mass, the cosmological constant and so on) as well as the notation $\{\mathcal{E}\}$ to denote the dependence from the parameters defining the brane matter–energy content (for example, the surface tension and so on). Later we will also use, with similar meaning, the shorthand $\{\mathcal{G}\}$ according to the following definition: $\{\mathcal{G}\} = \{\mathcal{G}_+\} \cup \{\mathcal{G}_-\}$.

Ref. [8], the effective momentum $P(A, \dot{A}; \{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$ conjugated to A can also be determined. Moreover, from (2), it is possible to solve for $\dot{A}$ and substitute this result into $P(A, \dot{A}; \{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$ to obtain $P(A; \{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$, i.e. an expression for the *momentum evaluated on a solution of the classical equations of motion*. If the system admits bounded solutions, so that classically A oscillates between $A_{\min}(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$ and $A_{\max}(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$, we can then evaluate (sometimes analytically but, otherwise, at least numerically) *the value of the action on a classical solution*

$$S(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\}) = 2 \int_{A_{\min}(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})}^{A_{\max}(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})} P(A; \{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\}) dA. \quad (3)$$

When the action $S(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\})$ is of the order of the quantum the *gravitational* system is in a *quantum* regime and the Bohr–Sommerfeld quantization condition

$$S(\{\mathcal{G}\}, \{\mathcal{E}\}) \sim n\hbar, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4)$$

defines *the semiclassical states of the system*. In this case (4) is a constraint: *not all combinations of values of the parameters are allowed*. Let us now further specialize our discussion to $N = 4$ and discuss Robertson–Walker cosmologies in five-dimensional Schwarzschild anti-de Sitter spacetime[9] in the spirit of the Randall–Sundrum scenario[10]. Then $h_+(a; \{\mathcal{G}_+\}) = h_-(a; \{\mathcal{G}_-\}) = h(a; \{k, l, m\}) \equiv k + l^2 a^2 + 2m/a^2$ and $\epsilon_+ = -\epsilon_- = +1$; we also choose the coordinates in the maximally symmetric space as $(\dots)_\pm = (\chi_\pm, \theta_\pm, \phi_\pm)$. Then ${}^{(3)}d\Omega_\pm^2(\{\mathcal{G}_\pm\}) = {}^{(3)}d\Omega_\pm^2(k) = d\chi_\pm^2 + f_k^2(\chi_\pm)(d\theta_\pm^2 + \sin^2 \theta_\pm d\phi_\pm^2)$, where $f_k(y) = (\exp(k^{1/2}y) - \exp(-k^{1/2}y))/(2k^{1/2}y)$ and $k = -1, 0, +1$ determines if the maximally symmetric space is a 3-sphere, $\mathbb{S}^3$, a 3-Euclidean space, $\mathbb{E}^3$, or 3-Hyperbolic space, $\mathbb{H}^3$, respectively. We now observe that in the model we are discussing, $M(R; \{\mathcal{E}\})$ contains most of the relevant physical information, since it describes the matter–energy content of the brane, i.e. of our universe. It is, then, interesting to choose the set of parameters $\{\mathcal{E}\}$ to describe the matter component of the universe ρ_m , the radiation component ρ_r , the cosmological constant ρ_Λ the dark energy ρ_γ and so on; thus $\{\mathcal{E}\} = \{\rho_m, \rho_r, \rho_\Lambda, \rho_\gamma\}$, whereas $\{\mathcal{G}\} = \{k, l, m\}$ is fixed by the bulk spacetime structure. We then see that, already in the very simple and natural semiclassical approach discussed above (of which the toy model in Ref. [11] is a preliminary test), the quantization condition $S(\{k, l, m\}, \{\rho_m, \rho_r, \rho_\Lambda, \rho_\gamma\}) \sim n\hbar$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, provides a constraint among the cosmological parameters. Phenomenological implications and further refinements of this approach are currently under investigation and will be reported elsewhere[12].

Acknowledgements.

I would like to thank Mr. Bernardino Cresseri, Prof. Gianrossano Giannini and Mr. Enrico Ramot for some administrative and practical arrangements which made possible my participation to the MG11 meeting. I would also like to gratefully acknowledge financial support from ICRA (International Center

for Relativistic Astrophysics) and INFN (Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Trieste).

References

- [1] S. Ansoldi, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19**, 6321 (2002), gr-qc/0310004.
- [2] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cimento B* **44**, 1 (1966) [Erratum-ibid. **48**, 463 (1967)]; C. Barrabes and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 1129 (1991).
- [3] E. Farhi, A. H. Guth and J. Guven, *Nucl. Phys. B* **339**, 417 (1990).
- [4] A. Aguirre and M. C. Johnson, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 103525 (2005), gr-qc/0508093; ibid. **73**, 123529 (2006), gr-qc/0512034; S. Ansoldi, “Gravitational tunnelling of relativistic shells”, in *Frontiers of Fundamental and Computational Physics*, Springer (2005), gr-qc/0411042; S. Ansoldi, “Bubbles and Quantum Tunnelling in Inflationary Cosmology”, to appear in the *proceedings of the 16th Workshop on General Relativity and Gravitation (JGRG16)*, Niigata, November 27th–December 1st, 2006.
- [5] V. A. Berezin, *Phys. Lett. B* **241**, 194 (1990).
- [6] M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 402 (1991).
- [7] P. Hajicek and J. Bicak, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4706 (1997), gr-qc/9706022; P. Hajicek and J. Kijowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **57**, 914 (1998) [Erratum-ibid. **61**, 129901 (2000)], gr-qc/9707020; S. Mukohyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 024028 (2002), gr-qc/0108048.
- [8] S. Ansoldi, A. Aurilia, R. Balbinot and E. Spallucci, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14**, 2727 (1997), gr-qc/9706081.
- [9] D. Ida, *JHEP* **014**, 009 (2000), gr-qc/9912002.
- [10] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3370 (1999), hep-ph/9905221; ibid. **83**, 4690 (1999), hep-th/9906064.
- [11] S. Ansoldi, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **751**, 159 (2005), gr-qc/0410080.
- [12] S. Ansoldi, E. I. Guendelman and H. Ishihara, *Semiclassical States in Brane Cosmology*, in preparation.